United States Patent and Trademark Office Organization_

P.O. Box 1450

If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



£0/90/01 61 国工公司等

RETURN TO SENDEN OF ALL OF ALL OF SELECT TO PORWANT NETURN TO SORWANT とり営

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov OCT 1 4 2009 APPLICATION NO FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/589,631 09/04/2008 William L. King P70793 9711 09/30/2009 **EXAMINER** Samsonite Corportion 11200 East 45th Avenue MAI, TRI M Denver, CO 80239 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3781 MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE** 09/30/2009 **PAPER**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Electricition of time may be evaluated under the provision of 30 °FR 11300, in no event, nower, may anely be timely filled. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failur to reply within he sof or cented period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed plantin man alputement. See 37 C/FR 1-70(6). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Triff M Mai - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **ASHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Salve to reply is pedified above, the maximum statutions period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from he mailing date of this communication. **Failure to reply within the acro extended period for reply is pedified above, the maximum statutions period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from he mailing date of this communication. **Failure to reply within the acro extended period for reply is pedified above, the maximum statutions period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from he mailing date of this communication. **Failure to reply within the acro extended period for reply is pedified above, the maximum statutions period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from he mailing date of this communication. **Failure to reply within the acro extended period for reply is pedified above, the maximum statutions period will be application. **Failure to reply within the acro extended period for reply is pedified above. **Status** **To acro of the maximum statutions period date of the communication. **To reply in the specified acro of the maximum statutions period date of the communication. **To acro of the specified a | Office Action Community | 10/589,631 | KING ET AL. | | | | |
| The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of term may be available under the aposition of 37 CFR 1.1364. In overlin, hower, may rarely be termed the production of 37 CFR 1.1364. In overlin, hower, may rarely be termed the production of the communication of the production of t | Oπice Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| A SHORTENDE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - and the SX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, 138(a), in or event, however, may a reply be timely liked. - if No period for reply is specified above, the maximum allation, period will apply and will expire (5) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, 140 period for reply with the set or extended period for reply will, by attache, cause the application to become ABANDONED, (35 U.S. C, § 133). - Palaries to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by attache, cause the application to become ABANDONED, (35 U.S. C, § 133). - Palaries to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by attache, cause the application to become ABANDONED, (35 U.S. C, § 133). - Palaries to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by attache, cause the application to become ABANDONED, (35 U.S. C, § 133). - Palaries to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by attache, cause the application to become ABANDONED, (35 U.S. C, § 133). - Palaries to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by attache, cause the application to become ABANDONED, (35 U.S. C, § 133). - Palaries to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by attache, cause the application is non-final. - Application of Claims - Application of Palaries and the application of the Abandone of Claims (a) is a fare explication of the Abandone of Claims (b) is a fare objected to by the Examiner. - Application Papers - Application Papers - Application of Palaries and the application of the Abandone of Claims (b) is a fare objected to by the Examiner. - Application of Palaries and the Abandone of Claims (b) is a fare application of Claims (c) is a fare | | | | | | | |
| WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Entertions of tem rapy be available under the provisions of 37 FR1 1360, in no event, however, may a reply be timely flied and 150 X (5) AGNTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the start of the communication of the comm | - The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address – | | | | |
| 1) | WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing | WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) (is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | Status | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| 3 | _ | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) | · <u> </u> | | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| Application of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 3) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 | | , | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) *Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) *Attachment(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application | · _ | | | | | | |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Altachment(s) | | m from noncidenting | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 21 Interview Summary (PTO-413) 22 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 23 Notice of Informal Patent Application | | on from consideration. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mai | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 31 Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application | | clastian requirement | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some column None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Application Papers | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | epted or b) \square objected to by the E | Examiner. | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application | Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application | | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) O | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) O | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received in Application | on No | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | _ | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | · — | •• | | | | |

- 1. Claims 1, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Worley (D431903) or Christodoulou et al. (5407112). Either Worley or Christodoulou teaches a case with pocket comprises an all sides accessible pocket (note the zipper provide a small hinge area on the bottom of pocket). Thus, the zipper in Worley or Christodoulou meets the claimed limitation that track defining almost all of the edges.
- 2. Claims 2, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Worley or Christodoulou rejection as set forth above, and further in view of Lin (6431334). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a securing feature, i.e., a lock to provide added security.
- 3. Claims 3-4, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Worley rejections, as set forth in paragraphs 1 or 2, and further in view of Lehmann et al. (D387198). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide wheels and a retractable handle as taught by Lehmann to enable one to transport the case easily.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Geary (5944155), or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geary in view of Fenton et al. (D485681). Geary teaches a case having pocket comprises an all-sides accessible pocket (the pocket is defined by the panel 82 in Fig. 3 and the wall having portion 88). Note the tapered portion at the pocket 42.

To the degree it is argued that Geary does not teach the tapered upper portion, Fenton teaches that it is known in the art to provide a tapered upper portion. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a tapered upper portion as taught by Fenton to provide the desired shape for the luggage for stability.

Art Unit: 3781

5. Claims 1, 3, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wu (6467594), or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu in view of Fenton et al. (D485681). Wu teaches a case having pocket comprises an all-sides accessible pocket (the pocket is defined by bag 120 and the panel 110 Fig. 1). Note the tapered portion corner of the luggage.

To the degree it is argued that Wu does not teach the tapered upper portion, Fenton teaches that it is known in the art to provide a tapered upper portion. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a tapered upper portion as taught by Fenton to provide the desired shape for the luggage for stability.

- 6. Claims 1, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by anticipated by Tucker (4081061). Tucker teaches a case having pocket comprises an all-sides accessible pocket (the pocket is defined by bag 122 and the panel 10 Fig. 12).
- 7. Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Wu or Geary in view of Waddell et al. (5630521). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the castor wheels in either Wu or Geary as taught by Waddell to navigate the container easily.
- 8. Claims 9 and 10 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over either Wu or Geary in view of Scicluna (5984154). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the shoulder straps in either Wu or Geary as taught by Scicluna to provide another means for transporting the luggage.
- 9. Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu in view of Lin (6431334). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide

Art Unit: 3781

a securing device, i.e., the lock 19, in Wu as taught by Lin to secure the pocket.

- 10. Claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (5083645) in view of Gehrie (2985265). Lee teaches a taper luggage with a pocket (the luggage compartment) with a closure at 27. Lee meets all claimed limitations except for the zipper track define almost all of the edges thereof. Gehrie teaches that it is known in the art to provide a closure with a zipper track define almost of the edges thereof. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a zipper track define almost of the edges to provide an alternative closure for the pocket.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6 am 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,631

Art Unit: 3781

/Tri M Mai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3781

Page 5

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination KING ET AL. Examiner | Art Unit | Page 1 of 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| * | | Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code | Date MM-YYYY | Name | Classification |
|---|---|--|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|
| * | Α | US-5,407,112 | 04-1995 | Christodoulou et al. | 224/153 |
| * | В | US-D387,198 | 12-1997 | Lehmann et al. | D3/217 |
| * | O | US-5,004,134 | 04-1991 | Barry, Thomas P. | 224/650 |
| * | D | US-4,081,061 | 03-1978 | Tucker, Deborah E. | 190/108 |
| * | Е | US-5,083,645 | 01-1992 | Lee, Doo Y. | 190/113 |
| * | F | US-D485,681 | 01-2004 | Fenton et al. | D3/279 |
| * | G | US-D459,579 | 07-2002 | Kegels, Xavier | D3/279 |
| * | Ŧ | US-D431,903 | 10-2000 | Worley et al. | D3/232 |
| * | _ | US-6,467,594 | 10-2002 | Wu, Kuo-Chen | 190/108 |
| * | _ | US-5,944,155 | 08-1999 | Geary, Rebecca T. | 190/108 |
| * | К | US-5,630,521 | 05-1997 | Waddell et al. | 190/18A |
| * | L | US-6,431,334 | 08-2002 | Lin, Shin-Fu (Eiken) | 190/120 |
| * | М | US-5,984,154 | 11-1999 | Scicluna, Paul | 224/153 |

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

| * | | Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code | Date MM-YYYY | Country | Name | Classification |
|---|---|---|-----------------|---------|------|----------------|
| | N | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | | | |
| | Р | | | | | |
| | σ | | | | | |
| | R | | | | | |
| | s | · | | | | |
| | Т | | | | | |

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

| | NON-FAILNI DOCOMENTO | | | | |
|---|----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| * | | Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages) | | | |
| | υ | | | | |
| | ٧ | | | | |
| | w | | | | |
| | × | · | | | |

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/589,631 Examiner Tri M. Mai U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination KING ET AL. Art Unit 9 age 2 of 2

| * | | Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code | Date MM-YYYY | Name | Classification |
|---|---|---|-----------------|------------------|----------------|
| * | Α | US-D387,198 | 12-1997 | Lehmann et al. | D3/217 |
| * | В | US-2,985,265 | 05-1961 | GEHRIE CHARLES S | 190/113 |
| | U | US- | | | |
| | D | US- | | | |
| | Е | US- | | | |
| | F | US- | | | |
| | G | US- | | | |
| | Ξ | US- | | | |
| | 1 | US- | | • | |
| | J | US- | | | |
| | Κ | US- | | | |
| | L | US- | | | |
| | М | US- | | | |

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

| * | | Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code | Date MM-YYYY | Country | Name | Classification |
|---|---|--|-----------------|---------|------|----------------|
| | N | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | | | |
| | P | | | | | |
| | a | | | | | |
| | R | | | | | |
| | s | | | | | |
| | Т | | | , | | |

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

| * | · | Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | U | | | | |
| | ٧ | | | | |
| | v | | | | |
| | × | | | | |

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.